



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

take to prevent his goods being confounded with those of this other person. This also seems to be the view taken by American courts. In *Russia Cement Co. v. Le Page*, 147 Mass. 206, and *Le Page Cement Co. v. Russia Cement Co.*, 51 Fed. Rep. 941., Le Page, who sold his right to manufacture and sell "Le Page's Liquid Glue," and then commenced a new business and manufactured "Le Page's Improved Liquid Glue" was restrained. Similar cases are *Frazer v. Frazer Lubricator Co.*, 121 Ill. 147; *Skinner v. Oakes*, 10 Mo. App. 451; *Hoxie v. Cheney*, 143 Mass. 592; *Symonds v. Jones*, 82 Me. 302-313, and *Pepper v. Labrot*, 8 Fed. Rep. 29. In all these cases, however, the use of the particular name was restrained because proper care had not been exercised to avoid deception of the public, and to prevent injury to those who had acquired the right to the use of the name and its reputation. None of them went so far as to say that a man could be restrained altogether from carrying on a particular business in his own name.

USURY—BUILDING LOANS—WHAT LAW GOVERNS—NATIONAL MUT. BUILDING & LOAN ASS'N v. BRAHAN, 31 So. 480 (Miss.).—A New York building and loan association, having only special agents in Mississippi, loaned a sum of money to a party there at a rate usurious under the laws of that state, but stipulated that payment of the debt should be made in New York. *Held*, that the contract, notwithstanding the recital as to the place of payment, was a Mississippi contract, and hence usurious..

The general rule has always been that a contract is controlled by the usury laws of the state where the debt is made payable; and "the fact that a contract, to be performed in one state, is secured by a mortgage upon land in another does not affect the rule that the *lex loci contractus* governs." 27 *Am. & Eng. Enc. Law*, p. 974; *Association v. Bedford*, 88 Fed. 7; *Kurtz v. Sponable*, 6 Kan. 397. However, the present tendency seems to be to look beyond the plain facts to the intention of the parties, and state courts are inclined to consider as domestic, contracts of indebtedness secured by mortgage in that state, even though payment is stipulated to be made in another state, especially where the intent is to evade the usury laws. *Ass'n v. Stanley*, 38 Or. 340; *Ass'n v. Kidder*, 9 Kan. App. 390; *Martin v. Johnson*, 84 Ga. 481; *Meroney v. Ass'n*, 116 N. C. 883.

REVIEWS.

Reports on the Law of Civil Government in Territory Subject to Military Occupation by the Military Forces of the United States. Submitted to Hon. Elihu Root, Secretary of War. By Charles E. Magoon, Law Officer, Bureau of Insular Affairs, War Department. 2d Ed. Washington Government Printing Office. 1902.

This work presents a striking instance of the new political machinery which it has been found necessary to provide for the proper conduct of affairs of the United States since the Spanish war. That threw into our hands a title to great territorial possessions on opposite sides of the globe as against the rest of the world, outside of them, at least. They had been united by little except—to a certain extent—by a common language and law. It was a strange language and a stranger law to us.